

## WALLS IN MILNOR FIBER COMPLEXES

ALEXANDER R. MILLER<sup>1</sup>

Received: November 5, 2017

Revised: September 18, 2018

Communicated by Eckhard Meinrenken

ABSTRACT. For a real reflection group the reflecting hyperplanes cut out on the unit sphere a simplicial complex called the Coxeter complex. Abramenko showed that each reflecting hyperplane meets the Coxeter complex in another Coxeter complex if and only if the Coxeter diagram contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ . The present paper extends Abramenko's result to a wider class of complex reflection groups. These groups have a Coxeter-like presentation and a Coxeter-like complex called the Milnor fiber complex. Our first main theorem determines the groups where each reflecting hyperplane meets the Milnor fiber complex in another Milnor fiber complex. To understand better the walls that are not Milnor fiber complexes we introduce *Milnor walls*. Our second main theorem generalizes Abramenko's result in a second way. It says that each wall of a Milnor fiber complex is a Milnor wall if and only if the diagram contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F55, 51F25

Keywords and Phrases: Reflection groups, Milnor fiber complexes


## INTRODUCTION

Consider a finite group of isometries of Euclidean space generated by reflections. Each reflection in the group is a reflection through a hyperplane, and together these reflecting hyperplanes cut out on the unit sphere a simplicial complex called the Coxeter complex. Intersecting the complex with a reflecting hyperplane gives a subcomplex called a wall. Abramenko [1] showed that each wall of the Coxeter complex is again a Coxeter complex if and only if the Coxeter diagram contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .

The present paper extends Abramenko's result to a wider class of reflection groups. These groups have a Coxeter-like presentation and a Coxeter-like complex called the Milnor fiber complex. Our first main theorem (Theorem 1)

---

<sup>1</sup>The author was supported in part by the Austrian Science Foundation.

FIGURE 1. The Coxeter complex of type  $A_3$  and one of its walls.

determines the groups where each wall of the Milnor fiber complex is again a Milnor fiber complex.

To understand better the walls that are not Milnor fiber complexes we introduce *Milnor walls*. These walls contain a Milnor fiber complex as a full-dimensional subcomplex, and in a Coxeter complex they are precisely the walls that are Coxeter complexes. Our second main theorem (Theorem 2) generalizes Abramenko's result in a second way and says that each wall of a Milnor fiber complex is a Milnor wall if and only if the diagram contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .

As a benefit of Theorem 2 we find that Abramenko's result extends to give a new characterization of a family of reflection groups that recently arose in the study of some remarkable group characters constructed from certain homology groups [5, 6, 7]. Ten other characterizations were given in [6, Theorem 14], see Remark 1.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN THEOREMS

Fix a nonnegative integer  $n \geq 0$  and a finite group  $G$  of the form

$$\langle r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \mid r_i^{p_i} = 1, \quad r_i r_j r_i \dots = r_j r_i r_j \dots \quad i \neq j \rangle \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i \geq 2$ , the number of terms on both sides of the braid relation is  $m_{ij} = m_{ji} \geq 2$ , and  $p_i$  equals  $p_j$  if  $m_{ij}$  is odd<sup>2</sup>. The empty set (when  $n = 0$ ) generates the trivial group.

1.1. Call a group presentation of the form (1) *admissible*. Koster [4] classified admissible presentations and found that the groups are precisely the finite direct products of finite irreducible Coxeter groups and Shephard groups (re-called below, cf. [10, 13]). The classification implies no group has two different admissible presentations. Write  $R = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$  and call  $n$  the *rank* of  $G$ .

1.1.1. The classification uses a graphical notation for admissible presentations. The *diagram*  $\Gamma$  of (1) has for each  $r_i$  a vertex labeled  $p_i$ , and for each pair  $r_i, r_j$  with  $m_{ij} > 2$  an edge labeled  $m_{ij}$  that connects  $r_i$  and  $r_j$ . We agree to suppress the minimal labels (2's on vertices and 3's on edges). We say  $\Gamma$  is *connected* if it has exactly one connected component; the diagram with no vertices is not

<sup>2</sup>For  $m_{ij}$  odd the braid relation says  $(r_i r_j)^{(m_{ij}-1)/2} r_i = r_j (r_i r_j)^{(m_{ij}-1)/2}$  so that  $r_i$  is conjugate to  $r_j$ .

connected. By *subdiagram of*  $\Gamma$  we mean a diagram gotten from  $\Gamma$  by removing any number of vertices and all their incident edges.

1.1.2. Write  $G = G(\Gamma)$  and let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_N$  be the connected components of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_N, \quad G_i = G(\Gamma_i) \tag{2}$$

where the empty product is the trivial group. It follows that admissible diagrams are the unions of connected ones. Koster classified the connected ones. Table 1 lists them. The groups are the finite irreducible Coxeter groups and Shephard groups. Each comes from just one diagram. Finite irreducible Coxeter groups are the ones with all vertices 2. Shephard groups are the ones with *linear diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} p_1 & q_1 & p_2 & q_2 & p_3 & \dots & p_{n-1} & q_{n-1} & p_n \\ \bullet & & \bullet \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \tag{3}$$

The *symbol*  $p_1[q_1]p_2[q_2]p_3 \dots p_{n-1}[q_{n-1}]p_n$  (unique up to reversing term order) is shorthand for the linear diagram (3).

1.1.3.  $G$  has a representation analogous to the canonical one for Coxeter groups. Fix a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  of dimension  $n$ . A *reflection* in  $\text{GL}(V)$  is an element of finite order whose fixed space  $V^r = \ker(r - 1)$  is a hyperplane, and a *finite (complex) reflection group* is a finite group generated by reflections; useful references include [3, 13]. Finite Coxeter groups have a canonical representation as a real reflection group that we view as a complex reflection group by extending the base field. In general the diagram  $\Gamma$  encodes a canonical faithful representation of  $G$  as a reflection group  $G \subset \text{GL}(V)$  in which each  $r \in R$  is a reflection [4]. With this identification the reflections in  $G$  are precisely the non-identity elements that are conjugate to a power of a generator  $r \in R$ . Call  $G$  *irreducible* if the  $\mathbf{C}G$ -module  $V$  is irreducible. This happens if and only if  $\Gamma$  is connected. Shephard groups are irreducible; the trivial group is not.

1.1.4. Shephard and Todd [14] classified the finite irreducible reflection groups and named *exceptional* ones  $G_4, G_5, \dots, G_{37}$ . Not all of them are Coxeter or Shephard groups. The Coxeter ones have another set of names that we also use. For example  $H_3$  and  $G_{23}$  both refer to the same Shephard group in Table 1.

1.1.5. Finite reflection groups on  $V$  are also the finite groups acting linearly on  $V$  whose algebra of invariant polynomial functions  $P$  on  $V$  (with respect to  $gP(v) = P(g^{-1}v)$ ) is generated by  $n = \dim V$  homogeneous algebraically independent polynomials  $P_i$ . The *basic degrees*  $d_i = \deg P_i$  are unique and numbered so that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ . If  $G$  is irreducible, then by the classification (see Table 1):

- (i)  $d_1 \geq 2$  with equality if and only if  $G$  is a Coxeter group.
- (ii)  $d_1 < d_2$  so that  $P_1$  is unique up to a constant factor.

If  $G$  is irreducible, then  $F_G = P_1^{-1}(1)$  is called *the Milnor fiber of*  $G$ .

1.1.6. According to details in §2.1 there is a unique (up to  $G$ -isomorphism) abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta = \Delta(G, R)$  with simplices (labeled by) the cosets  $gG_I$  of standard parabolic subgroups  $G_I = \langle I \rangle$  ( $I \subset R$ ) with face relation “ $gG_I$  is a face of  $hG_J$ ”  $\Leftrightarrow gG_I \supset hG_J$ , and with  $G$  acting on  $\Delta$  by left translation  $g.hG_I = ghG_I$ . This is the classical abstract description of the Coxeter complex when  $G$  is a Coxeter group [15]. Call  $\Delta$  *the Milnor fiber complex of  $G$* . It has an explicit geometric realization in  $V$  that is  $G$ -homeomorphic to an equivariant strong deformation retract of the Milnor fiber  $F_G$  if  $G$  is irreducible [8]. In general it is described in §2.1 as the join of the Milnor fiber complexes of the irreducible factors  $G_i$  of  $G$ .

Maximal simplices in  $\Delta$  have dimension  $n - 1$  and any two can be connected by a sequence of them in which consecutive terms share a face of codimension 1 so that  $\Delta$  is a *chamber complex* and maximal simplices are called *chambers*. A general simplex  $gG_{R \setminus I}$  has vertex set  $\{gG_{R \setminus \{r\}} : r \in I\}$  and dimension  $|I| - 1$ . The set  $I \subset R$  is uniquely determined by  $gG_{R \setminus I}$ . Call  $I$  the *type* of  $gG_{R \setminus I}$  and write  $\text{type}(gG_{R \setminus I}) = I$ .

1.1.7. The simplices of  $\Delta$  that are fixed pointwise by a reflection of  $G$  form a subcomplex we call a *wall*. Since an element  $g \in G$  fixing a simplex  $hG_{R \setminus I} \in \Delta$  effects a type-preserving permutation of the vertices  $hG_{R \setminus \{r\}}$  ( $r \in I$ ) the simplex is in fact fixed pointwise by  $g$  and so the walls of  $\Delta$  are

$$\Delta^r = \{\sigma \in \Delta : r\sigma = \sigma\}, \quad r \in G \text{ a reflection.} \quad (4)$$

1.2. Our first theorem extends Abramenko’s result to Milnor fiber complexes.

**THEOREM 1.** *Each wall of the Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta$  is again a Milnor fiber complex if and only if the diagram of  $G$  contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ ,  $H_4$ ,  $G_{25}$ , or  $G_{26}$ .*

We prove Theorem 1 in Section 2 by first reducing to the case where  $G$  is irreducible and then using the classification together with some enumerative and topological results about  $\Delta$  that relate to the invariant theory of  $G$ .

1.2.1. We recover Abramenko’s result from Theorem 1 by Proposition 10, which tells us that for Coxeter complexes all walls that are Milnor fiber complexes must be Coxeter complexes.

**COROLLARY (Abramenko).** *Each wall of a Coxeter complex is again a Coxeter complex if and only if the diagram contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .*  $\square$

1.3. Our second theorem generalizes Abramenko’s result in another way. The observation is that walls in Milnor fiber complexes can properly contain Milnor fiber complexes of the same dimension. We make this precise with the definition of *Milnor wall* in Section 3, and then we prove the following theorem which also implies Abramenko’s result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Each wall of the Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta$  is a Milnor wall if and only if the diagram of  $G$  contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .*

REMARK 1. In [6, Theorem 14] we proved that if  $G$  is irreducible, then the diagram of  $G$  contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$  if and only if the Foulkes characters  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  for  $G$  depend only on fixed-space dimension in the sense that  $\phi_i(g) = \phi_i(h)$  whenever  $\dim V^g = \dim V^h$ . See [6, Theorem 14] for 9 other equivalent conditions.

2. MILNOR FIBER COMPLEXES

In this section we prove Theorem 1 after some preliminaries. §2.1 defines the Milnor fiber complex. §2.2 defines walls. §2.3 develops some topological results. §2.4 develops some enumerative results. §2.5 gives a combinatorial description of the Milnor fiber complex for the full monomial groups. Then in §2.6 we prove Theorem 1.

Recall that the connected components  $\Gamma_i$  of  $\Gamma$  partition  $R$  into disjoint sets  $R_i$  so that

$$G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \dots \times G_N, \quad G_i = \langle R_i \rangle. \tag{5}$$

Let  $n_i$  and  $\delta_i$  be the rank and smallest basic degree of  $G_i$ , so that  $n_i = |R_i| \geq 1$ ,  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_N$ , and  $\delta_i \geq 2$  with equality if and only if  $G_i$  is a Coxeter group.

2.1. THE MILNOR FIBER COMPLEX. We define the *Milnor fiber complex* of  $G$  to be the complex  $\Delta$  described by the following theorem. The definition is in terms of cosets  $gG_I$  of standard parabolic subgroups  $G_I = \langle I \rangle$  ( $I \subset R$ ). If  $G$  is a Coxeter group, then the definition is the standard abstract one for the Coxeter complex of  $G$  and the properties that we list are well known, see [15]. The geometric construction of the Coxeter complex was generalized to include Shephard groups by Orlik [8]. He called this more general complex the Milnor fiber complex. The abstract definition of the Coxeter complex was later shown to hold for the Milnor fiber complex in the Shephard case [9]. For details about the extension of the definition and the properties to the Shephard case see [5]. The general case of the following theorem follows from the Coxeter and Shephard cases.

THEOREM 3. *The standard parabolic cosets  $gG_I$  ( $g \in G, I \subset R$ ) with face relation*

$$“gG_I \text{ is a face of } hG_J” \Leftrightarrow gG_I \supset hG_J$$

*is a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ . Moreover  $\Delta$  is a chamber complex with the following structure:*

- (i)  $G$  acts on  $\Delta$  by left translation  $g.hG_I = ghG_I$ .
- (ii)  $\Delta$  has a  $G$ -invariant type function  $\Delta \rightarrow \{\text{subsets of } R\}$  given by type  $(hG_{R \setminus I}) = I$ .
- (iii) There exists a type-preserving  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\Delta \cong \Delta_1 * \Delta_2 * \dots * \Delta_N, \quad \Delta_k = \Delta(G_k, R_k) \tag{6}$$

where type  $(h_1G_{R_1 \setminus I_1} * \dots * h_NG_{R_N \setminus I_N}) = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_N$  and

$$g.(h_1G_{I_1} * \dots * h_NG_{I_N}) = g_1h_1G_{I_1} * \dots * g_Nh_NG_{I_N}$$

for  $g = g_1g_2 \dots g_N$ ,  $g_i \in G_i$ ,  $I_i \subset R_i$ .

*Proof.* For the case where  $G$  is a Coxeter or Shephard group see [15] and [5]. For the general case it suffices to prove (iii). To this end note that the mapping

$$h_1 G_{I_1} * h_2 G_{I_2} * \dots * h_N G_{I_N} \mapsto h_1 h_2 \dots h_N G_{I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_N}$$

takes  $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2 * \dots * \Delta_N$  bijectively onto  $\Delta$  in a type-preserving fashion, and is compatible with the face relation and  $G$ -action. In particular  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex.  $\square$

We require the following lemma [5, Lemma 3.14 with  $T = R \setminus U$ ] which tells us that each link in a Milnor fiber complex is again a Milnor fiber complex.

PROPOSITION 4. *The link of a simplex  $gG_I$  in  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta(G_I, I)$ .*  $\square$

2.2. THE WALLS OF THE MILNOR FIBER COMPLEX. The simplices of  $\Delta$  that are fixed pointwise by a reflection of  $G$  form a subcomplex we call a *wall*. The following proposition says that a simplex  $\sigma \in \Delta$  is fixed pointwise by  $g \in G$  if and only if  $g\sigma = \sigma$ . Write

$$\Delta^g = \{\sigma \in \Delta : g\sigma = \sigma\}, \quad g \in G. \quad (7)$$

PROPOSITION 5.  $\Delta^g = \{\sigma \in \Delta : \sigma \text{ fixed pointwise by } g\}$ .

*Proof.* An element  $g \in G$  fixing a simplex  $hG_{R \setminus I} \in \Delta$  effects a type-preserving permutation of the vertices  $hG_{R \setminus \{r\}}$  ( $r \in I$ ) of the simplex. Since no two of these vertices have the same type, the element  $g$  must fix each of the vertices.  $\square$

Proposition 5 gives the following description of walls.

PROPOSITION 6. *The walls of  $\Delta$  are*

$$\Delta^r = \{\sigma \in \Delta : r\sigma = \sigma\}, \quad r \in G \text{ a reflection.} \quad (8)$$

*Equivalently, the walls of  $\Delta$  are (up to isomorphism)*

$$\Delta(G_1, R_1) * \dots * \Delta(G_i, R_i)^t * \dots * \Delta(G_N, R_N), \quad t \in G_i \text{ a reflection.} \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* The first part is by Proposition 5. The second part follows by Theorem 3(iii).  $\square$

As a benefit of (9) we have the following result that reduces the problem of determining when the walls of  $\Delta$  are Milnor fiber complexes to the case where  $G$  is irreducible.

PROPOSITION 7. *Each wall of  $\Delta(G, R)$  is a Milnor fiber complex if and only if each wall of each  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)$  is a Milnor fiber complex.*

*Proof.* If the wall in (9) is a Milnor fiber complex, then it follows from Proposition 4 that the wall  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t$  of  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)$  is a Milnor fiber complex. Conversely, if the wall  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t$  of  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)$  is a Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta(G'_i, R'_i)$ , then the wall in (9) is the Milnor fiber complex of  $G_1 \times \dots \times G'_i \times \dots \times G_N$ .  $\square$

2.3. TOPOLOGICAL RESULTS. The following theorem tells us the homotopy type of the subcomplex  $\Delta^g$  for any element  $g \in G$ . In particular, it tells us the homotopy type of any wall  $\Delta^r$ . It is due to Orlik [8] and appears in the proof of his Theorem 4.1 on p. 145 where he observes that  $\Delta^g$  is a deformation retract of the intersection of the fixed space  $V^g$  and the Milnor fiber of  $G$ , which has an isolated critical point at the origin.

**THEOREM (Orlik).** *If  $G$  is irreducible and  $g \in G$ , then the subcomplex  $\Delta^g$  is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of  $(d_1 - 1)^p$  many  $(p - 1)$ -spheres, where  $p = \dim V^g$ .*

If  $G$  is reducible, then the homotopy type of a given  $\Delta^g$  is read off from Orlik's result and (6). We highlight the case  $g = 1$ . This case is used in the proof of Theorem 1 to help determine if a wall  $\Delta^r$  is a Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta(W, S)$  for some possibly reducible  $W$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $n_i$  and  $\delta_i$  be the rank and smallest basic degree of the irreducible factor  $G_i$ , so that  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_N$ . Then the Milnor fiber complex of  $G$  is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of  $(\delta_1 - 1)^{n_1}(\delta_2 - 1)^{n_2} \dots (\delta_N - 1)^{n_N}$  many  $(n - 1)$ -spheres.*

*Proof.* The Milnor fiber complex is the join  $\Delta_1 * \Delta_2 * \dots * \Delta_N$  of the complexes  $\Delta_i$  of the irreducible factors  $G_i$ , and Orlik's theorem with  $g = 1$  tells us that  $\Delta_i$  is a bouquet of  $(\delta_i - 1)^{n_i}$  many  $(n_i - 1)$ -spheres. Hence the result.  $\square$

From Proposition 8 we get the following characterization of Coxeter complexes as Milnor fiber complexes that are spheres.

**PROPOSITION 9.** *A Milnor fiber complex is a Coxeter complex if and only if it is a sphere.*

*Proof.* Proposition 8 says  $\Delta$  is a bouquet of  $(\delta_1 - 1)^{n_1}(\delta_2 - 1)^{n_2} \dots (\delta_N - 1)^{n_N}$  many  $(n - 1)$ -spheres. If  $N = 0$ , then  $\Delta$  is a single  $(-1)$ -sphere and  $G$  is the trivial Coxeter group  $\langle \emptyset \rangle$ . If  $N > 0$ , then the inequalities  $n_i \geq 1$  and  $\delta_i \geq 2$  imply that the number of spheres equals 1 if and only if each  $\delta_i$  equals 2. Hence by §1.1.5(i) the Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta$  is a single sphere if and only if each  $G_i$  is a Coxeter group, i.e., if and only if  $G$  is a Coxeter group.  $\square$

As a corollary of Proposition 9 we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *A wall of a Coxeter complex is a Milnor fiber complex if and only if it is a Coxeter complex.*

*Proof.* Consider a wall of a Coxeter complex. If it is a Coxeter complex, then it is a Milnor fiber complex. If it is a Milnor fiber complex, then because it is also a sphere (being the equator of a sphere) Proposition 9 tells us that it is a Coxeter complex.  $\square$

2.4. ENUMERATIVE RESULTS. We require some enumerative results about the number of chambers of a wall. Denote by  $f_k(\Sigma)$  the number of  $k$ -simplices in a complex  $\Sigma$  so that

$$f_k(\Sigma) = \#\{\sigma \in \Sigma : \dim \sigma = k\}.$$

The chambers of  $\Delta$  are indexed by elements of  $G$ , and the number of elements of  $G$  equals the product of basic degrees  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ . Hence  $f_{n-1}(\Delta)$  equals  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_n$ . Suppose for the rest of §2.4 that  $G$  is irreducible. Then Eq. (13) below tells us that  $f_{n-2}(\Delta^r)$  equals  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n-1}$  for any reflection  $r$  in  $G$ . It is natural to wonder then if a similar formula holds for elements  $g$  where  $\dim V^g$  equals  $n-2$ ,  $n-3$ , and so on. Remarkably this turns out to be the case if and only if the diagram of  $G$  does not contain any subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ . This is Theorem 11 below. It is a consequence of a collection of observations from [6] about Orlik–Solomon coexponents.

Continue to suppose that  $G$  is irreducible. Let  $L$  be the collection of all fixed spaces  $V^g$  ordered by reverse inclusion, so that  $V$  is at the bottom. This is the same as the lattice of intersections of reflecting hyperplanes. Let  $\mu$  be the Möbius function of  $L$ , so that  $\mu(X, X) = 1$  and  $\mu(X, Y) = -\sum_{X \leq Z < Y} \mu(X, Z)$  for  $X < Y$ . Associate to each  $X \in L$  a polynomial  $B_X(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  defined by  $B_X(t) = (-1)^{\dim X} \sum_{Y \geq X} \mu(X, Y) (-t)^{\dim Y}$ . Then Orlik [8] (following Orlik–Solomon in the Coxeter case) showed that

$$f_{k-1}(\Delta^g) = \sum B_Y(d_1 - 1) \quad (10)$$

where the sum is over all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $Y$  that lie above  $V^g$  in  $L$ . In particular

$$f_{p-1}(\Delta^g) = B_X(d_1 - 1) \quad (11)$$

for  $X = V^g$  and  $p = \dim X$ . Furthermore for  $X \in L$  of dimension  $p$  there exist positive integers  $b_1^X \leq b_2^X \leq \dots \leq b_p^X$  such that

$$B_X(t) = (t + b_1^X)(t + b_2^X) \dots (t + b_p^X). \quad (12)$$

Orlik and Solomon determined the  $b_i^X$ 's for all irreducible Coxeter and Shephard groups. The tables in [11, 12] list the  $b_i^X$ 's for each  $X \in L$  when  $G$  is an exceptional group of rank at least 3. Following [6] we make the following remarkable observation.

**THEOREM 11.** *If  $G$  is an irreducible Coxeter or Shephard group, then*

$$f_{n-2}(\Delta^r) = d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n-1} \quad (13)$$

for any reflection  $r \in G$ , and the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $f_{n-3}(\Delta^g) = d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n-2}$  for  $g \in G$  such that  $\dim V^g = n-2$ .
- (ii)  $f_{p-1}(\Delta^g) = d_1 d_2 \dots d_p$  for  $g \in G$  and  $p = \dim V^g$ .
- (iii) The diagram of  $G$  contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .

*Proof.* As stated above, this is a collection of observations from [6] about Orlik–Solomon coexponents (see [6, Prop. 13(ii), Thm. 14(a)(k), Pf. of Thm. 14]) as we now explain. For irreducible Coxeter groups, Eq. (13) was observed by Orlik and Solomon [12, p. 271]. In general [6, Thm. 14(g)(k)] is the equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii).

So it remains to show that (i) fails for  $F_4, H_4, E_6, E_7, E_8$ , and  $D_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ). This is implicit in the proof of [6, Thm. 14]. The exceptional cases  $F_4, H_4, E_6, E_7$ , and  $E_8$  follow from (11) together with the tables of [12], and as explained in the proof of [6, Thm. 14], (i) fails for  $D_n$  since there is a certain fixed space  $Y$  defined by  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$  such that  $B_Y(d_1 - 1) < d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n-2}$ .  $\square$

2.5. ANOTHER DESCRIPTION OF THE MILNOR FIBER COMPLEX OF THE FULL MONOMIAL GROUP. Fix an integer  $m > 1$ . The *full monomial group*  $G(m, 1, n)$  is the group of all  $n$ -by- $n$  monomial matrices (one nonzero entry in each row and column) whose nonzero entries are  $m$ -th roots of unity. Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity and denote by  $e_k$  the standard column vector in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with 1 in the  $k$ -th spot and 0 elsewhere. In cycle notation, the standard generators  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  of  $G(m, 1, n)$  are the adjacent transpositions  $(1\ 2), (2\ 3), \dots, (n-1\ n)$ , together with  $(n\ \zeta n)$ , where for example  $(n\ \zeta n)$  is short for the  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix whose  $i$ -th column is  $\zeta e_i$  if  $i = n$  and  $e_i$  otherwise. In general, a reflection is conjugate to a power of a generating reflection. This gives a total of  $m$  conjugacy classes of reflections: one indexed by  $(n-1\ n)$  and the others by  $(n\ \zeta^k n)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . The Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta$  of  $G(m, 1, n)$  is realized (see [8]) as the union  $\Delta = \cup gC$  of all translates  $gC$  of the simplex

$$C = \{\alpha_1 b_1 + \alpha_2 b_2 + \dots + \alpha_n b_n : \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = 1, \alpha_i \text{ nonnegative real}\} \tag{14}$$

where  $b_k = (e_1 + \dots + e_k)/k$ . This leads to the following convenient description of the Milnor fiber complex. The flag complex  $\Delta(P)$  of a finite poset  $P$  is the simplicial complex with elements of  $P$  for vertices and flags  $\{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k : x_i \in P\}$  for simplices.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let  $\Delta_n$  be the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(m, 1, n)$ . Let  $r$  be a reflection in  $G(m, 1, n)$ . Let  $P_n$  be the collection of sets  $\{\alpha_1 e_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_k e_{i_k}\}$  ordered by inclusion, where the  $\alpha_i$ 's are  $m$ -th roots of unity,  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , and  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Then*

- (i)  $\Delta_n$  is equivariantly isomorphic to the flag complex of  $P_n$ .
- (ii) The subposet  $P_n^r = \{X \in P_n : rX = X\}$  is isomorphic to  $P_{n-1}$ .

*In particular, each wall of  $\Delta_n$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta_{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* As an abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta_n$  is generated by the translates of the chamber  $C = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . Consider the mapping that takes  $b_k = (e_1 + \dots + e_k)/k$  to the set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ , so that a face  $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_k}\}$  of  $C$  (where  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ ) goes to the flag

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_{i_1}\} \subset \{e_1, \dots, e_{i_2}\} \subset \dots \subset \{e_1, \dots, e_{i_k}\}.$$

Extend by the action of  $G(m, 1, n)$  to an isomorphism from  $\Delta_n$  onto  $\Delta(P_n)$ . Hence (i).

The reflection  $r$  is conjugate to either  $t = (n-1\ n)$  or  $s = (n\ \xi n)$  for some root of unity  $\xi \neq 1$ . Hence  $P_n^r$  is isomorphic to either  $P_n^s$  or  $P_n^t$ . We show that  $P_n^s$  and  $P_n^t$  are isomorphic to  $P_{n-1}$ . Consider  $X \in P_n$  and write  $X = \{\alpha_1 e_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_k e_{i_k}\}$ . The reflection  $t$  fixes  $X$  if and only if either  $X$  contains both  $\alpha e_{n-1}$  and  $\alpha e_n$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ , or  $X$  contains neither  $\alpha e_{n-1}$  nor  $\alpha e_n$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ . Hence the following is an isomorphism:

$$P_n^t \rightarrow P_{n-1} \text{ given by } X \mapsto X \setminus \mathbf{C}e_n.$$

The set  $X$  is fixed by  $s$  if and only if  $X = X \setminus \mathbf{C}e_n$ , so  $P_n^s$  is isomorphic to  $P_{n-1}$  as well. Hence (ii). The last statement follows:  $\Delta_n^r \cong \Delta(P_n^r) \cong \Delta(P_{n-1}) \cong \Delta_{n-1}$ .  $\square$

2.6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It suffices to assume that  $G$  is irreducible by Proposition 7.

*Coxeter diagram with no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .* In this case, Abramenko tells us that each wall is a Coxeter complex, and hence a Milnor fiber complex.

*Coxeter diagram with a subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ .* Since  $\Delta$  is a Coxeter complex, Proposition 10 tells us that any wall of  $\Delta$  that is a Milnor fiber complex must be a Coxeter complex. But Abramenko tells us that not all walls of  $\Delta$  are Coxeter complexes. Hence not all walls of  $\Delta$  are Milnor fiber complexes.

*Full monomial groups  $G(m, 1, n)$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).* Proposition 12 says that any wall of the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(m, 1, n)$  is isomorphic to the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(m, 1, n - 1)$ .

*Groups of rank 1 and 2.* This case is clear.

*The remaining exceptional groups:  $G_{25}, G_{26}, G_{32}$ .* Here we use Orlik's theorem (see §2.3), Proposition 8, and the cell counts of Theorem 11.

*Group  $G_{25}$ .* This is the rank-3 group with symbol 3[3]3[3]3. The basic degrees are 6, 9, 12. Fix a wall  $\Delta^r$ . It is 1-dimensional with 54 chambers by (13), and it has the homotopy type of a  $5^2$ -fold bouquet of 1-spheres by Orlik's theorem. If the wall is a Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta(W, S)$ , then because  $\Delta(W, S)$  has dimension  $|S| - 1$  with chambers indexed by the elements of  $W$ , the group  $W$  must be a rank-2 group with 54 elements. If  $W$  is reducible, then it must therefore be of the form  $Z_j \times Z_k$  for some  $j, k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $jk = 54$  and  $(j - 1)(k - 1) = 5^2$  by Proposition 8. This is impossible. So  $W$  must be irreducible with basic degrees  $d_1, d_2$  satisfying  $d_1 d_2 = 54$  and  $(d_1 - 1)^2 = 5^2$ . But from the classification (see Table 1) we find that there is no irreducible Coxeter or Shephard group of rank 2 whose basic degrees are 6 and 9. So no wall of the Milnor fiber complex of  $G_{25}$  is again a Milnor fiber complex.

*Group  $G_{26}$ .* This is the rank-3 group with symbol 3[3]3[4]2. The basic degrees are 6, 12, 18. Consider the wall  $\Delta^{r_1}$  cut out by the generator  $r_1$  that commutes with the one of order 2. It is 1-dimensional with 72 chambers and the homotopy type of a  $5^2$ -fold bouquet of 1-spheres. If it is a Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta(W, S)$ , then  $W$  is a rank-2 group with 72 elements.  $W$  must be irreducible because otherwise it is of the form  $Z_j \times Z_k$  and there are no integers  $j, k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $jk = 72$  and  $(j - 1)(k - 1) = 5^2$ . Thus  $W$  is an irreducible rank-2 group whose basic degrees  $d_1, d_2$  satisfy  $d_1 d_2 = 72$  and  $(d_1 - 1)^2 = 5^2$ . Hence  $d_1 = 6$  and

$d_2 = 12$ . According to the classification (see Table 1) there are only two such groups: the group  $G_5$  whose symbol is  $3[4]3$ , and the group  $G(6, 1, 2)$  whose symbol is  $2[4]6$ .

Vertices in  $\Delta(W, S)$  are cosets  $w\langle s_i \rangle$  in  $W$  of the cyclic groups  $\langle s_i \rangle$  for  $s_i \in S$ . Edges of  $\Delta(W, S)$  are the cosets  $\{w\}$  ( $w \in W$ ) and the incidence relation is containment. So  $\Delta(W, S)$  has  $|W|/|\langle s_i \rangle|$  vertices of degree  $|\langle s_i \rangle|$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and this accounts for all vertices. It follows from this discussion that the Milnor fiber complex of  $G_5$  is 3-regular (all vertices have degree 3), and the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(6, 1, 2)$  has 12 vertices of degree 6 and 36 vertices of degree 2. We claim that these vertex-degree distributions are different from the vertex-degree distribution in  $\Delta^{r_1}$ , and in turn  $\Delta^{r_1}$  is not a Milnor fiber complex. To this end it is enough to show that there is a vertex of degree 4 in  $\Delta^{r_1}$ .

Consider the vertex of  $\Delta$  indexed by  $H = \langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ . This vertex is fixed (under left multiplication) by  $r_1$  and therefore belongs to  $\Delta^{r_1}$ . We claim that it has degree 4 in  $\Delta^{r_1}$ . In  $\Delta$  the edges incident to  $H$  are the cosets of  $\langle r_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle r_1 \rangle$  in  $H$ . The number of these cosets fixed by  $r_1$  therefore equals the degree of  $H$  as a vertex in  $\Delta^{r_1}$ . Since  $H$  is the group  $G_4$  with symbol  $3[3]3$  whose basic degrees are 4 and 6, Eq. (13) tells us that the number of these cosets in  $H$  fixed by  $r_1$  equals 4. This concludes the present case.

*Group  $G_{32}$ .* This is the rank-4 group with symbol  $3[3]3[3]3[3]3$  and basic degrees 12, 18, 24, 30. Fix a wall. It is 2-dimensional with 5184 chambers and the homotopy type of a  $11^3$ -fold bouquet of 2-spheres. Suppose that the wall is a Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta(W, S)$ . Then  $W$  must be a rank-3 group with 5184 elements. It can not be a product of 3 rank-1 groups  $Z_i \times Z_j \times Z_k$  because no  $i, j, k \in \mathbf{N}$  satisfy  $ijk = 5184$  and  $(i-1)(j-1)(k-1) = 11^3$ . And it can not be the product of a rank-1 group  $Z_k$  and an irreducible rank-2 group  $H$  because then for  $d_1$  the smallest basic degree of  $H$  we would have that  $k|H| = 5184$  and  $(k-1)(d_1-1)^3 = 11^3$ , so that  $k = 12$ ,  $d_1 = 12$ , and  $|H| = 432$ , while the only irreducible rank-2 Coxeter or Shephard group with 432 elements is a dihedral group whose smallest basic degree equals 2. Hence  $W$  must be an irreducible rank-3 Coxeter or Shephard group. Therefore the smallest basic degree  $d_1$  of  $W$  must satisfy  $(d_1-1)^3 = 11^3$ . But Table 1 shows that no irreducible rank-3 Coxeter or Shephard group has 5184 elements and smallest basic degree  $d_1$  equal to 12.  $\square$

### 3. MILNOR WALLS

Write  $\overline{\Omega} = \cup_{\sigma \in \Omega} \{\tau \in \Delta : \tau \subset \sigma\}$  for the simplicial complex generated by a family of simplices  $\Omega \subset \Delta$ . Let  $\binom{R}{k}$  be the set of all  $k$ -element subsets of  $R$ , so that

$$\binom{R}{k} = \{\text{type } \sigma : \sigma \in \Delta, \dim \sigma = k - 1\}.$$

DEFINITION 1. A wall  $\Delta^r$  of  $\Delta$  is a *Milnor wall* if for some  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{R}{n-1}$  the subcomplex

$$(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\{\sigma \in \Delta^r : \text{type } \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}} \tag{15}$$

is a Milnor fiber complex of dimension  $n - 2$ . A *proper Milnor wall* is a Milnor wall that is not a Milnor fiber complex.

Any wall  $\Delta^r$  can be written as  $(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}}$  for  $\mathcal{F} = \binom{R}{n-1}$ . Therefore walls that are Milnor fiber complexes are Milnor walls. These are the non-proper Milnor walls. They are the only kind of Milnor wall found in Coxeter complexes. This is the following lemma.<sup>3</sup>

LEMMA 13. *Coxeter complexes have no proper Milnor walls.*

*Proof.* Consider a wall of an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Coxeter complex and suppose that it contains a proper subcomplex  $\Sigma$ . Then there is a proper inclusion  $i : \Sigma \rightarrow S^{n-2}$  which factors through  $\mathbf{R}^{n-2}$ . Since the induced homomorphism  $i_* : \tilde{H}_{n-2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n-2}(S^{n-2})$  factors through  $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\mathbf{R}^{n-2}) = 0$ , it follows that  $i_*$  is 0 on  $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\Sigma)$ . But the long exact homology sequence for the pair tells us that  $i_*$  is injective on  $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\Sigma)$ , and so  $\tilde{H}_{n-2}(\Sigma) = 0$ . Hence  $\Sigma$  is not homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of one or more  $(n - 2)$ -spheres, and is therefore not a Milnor fiber complex of dimension  $n - 2$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 14. *A wall of a Coxeter complex is a Milnor wall if and only if the wall is a Coxeter complex.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be a Milnor wall of a Coxeter complex. Then Lemma 13 implies that  $\Sigma$  is a Milnor fiber complex. Since  $\Sigma$  is a wall of a Coxeter complex, it follows from Proposition 10 that  $\Sigma$  is a Coxeter complex. The other direction is clear: a wall of a Coxeter complex that is itself a Coxeter complex is a (non-proper) Milnor wall.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 15. *Each wall of  $\Delta(G, R)$  is a Milnor wall if and only if each wall of each  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)$  is a Milnor wall.*

*Proof.* Consider a wall  $\Delta^r = \Delta(G_1, R_1) * \dots * \Delta(G_i, R_i)^t * \dots * \Delta(G_N, R_N)$ , so that  $t \in G_i$  is a reflection, and write  $n_i = |R_i|$ .

Suppose that  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t$  is a Milnor wall, so that  $(\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t)_{\mathcal{F}_i}$  is a Milnor fiber complex of dimension  $n_i - 2$  for some  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \binom{R_i}{n_i-1}$ . Put  $\mathcal{F} = \{(R \setminus R_i) \cup S : S \in \mathcal{F}_i\}$ , so that

$$(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}} = \Delta(G_1, R_1) * \dots * (\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t)_{\mathcal{F}_i} * \dots * \Delta(G_N, R_N). \quad (16)$$

Since Theorem 3(iii) tells us that a join of Milnor fiber complexes is again a Milnor fiber complex, it follows that  $(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a Milnor fiber complex of dimension  $n - 2$ . Hence  $\Delta^r$  is a Milnor wall.

Now suppose  $\Delta^r$  is a Milnor wall. Then  $(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Milnor fiber complex for some  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{R}{n-1}$ . The subcomplex  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t$  has dimension  $|R_i| - 2$ , and hence no simplex of type  $R_i$ . So the wall  $\Delta^r$  has no simplex of type  $R \setminus \{s\}$  for  $s \in R_i$ ,  $j \neq i$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{R \setminus \{s\} : s \in R_i\}$ . But then for  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{S \cap R_i : S \in \mathcal{F}\}$  we have (16). Since  $(\Delta^r)_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Milnor fiber complex in which  $(\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t)_{\mathcal{F}_i}$  is the link of any simplex of

<sup>3</sup>I thank the referee for suggesting the present proof of Lemma 13.

type  $R \setminus R_i$ , it follows from Proposition 4 that  $(\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t)_{\mathcal{F}_i}$  is a Milnor fiber complex of dimension  $n_i - 2$ . So  $\Delta(G_i, R_i)^t$  is a Milnor wall.  $\square$

3.1. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. It suffices to assume that  $G$  is irreducible by Proposition 15.

Suppose that the diagram of  $G$  contains no subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ , and that  $G$  is not  $G_{25}$ ,  $G_{26}$ , or  $G_{32}$ . Then Theorem 1 tells us that each wall of  $\Delta$  is a Milnor fiber complex. Hence each wall of  $\Delta$  is a Milnor wall.

Suppose now the diagram of  $G$  contains a subdiagram of type  $D_4$ ,  $F_4$ , or  $H_4$ . By the irreducibility of  $G$  and the classification (Table 1),  $G$  must be a Coxeter group. Then Abramenko's result tells us that not all walls of  $\Delta$  are Coxeter complexes, and Proposition 14 tells us that the Milnor walls of  $\Delta$  are the walls of  $\Delta$  that are again Coxeter complexes. So in this case we conclude that not all walls of  $\Delta$  are Milnor walls.

Finally suppose  $G$  is  $G_{25}$ ,  $G_{26}$ , or  $G_{32}$ . The object is to show that all walls are Milnor walls. The remainder of the proof explains how this claim follows from Orlik's original construction of the Milnor fiber complex  $\Delta$  together with some observations made by Coxeter about the regular complex polytopes associated to  $G_{25}$ ,  $G_{26}$ , and  $G_{32}$ .

A regular complex polytope [2, p. 115] is a certain collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of affine subspaces  $F$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with incidence relation given by proper inclusion subject to some conditions. The elements  $F \in \mathcal{P}$  are *faces of  $\mathcal{P}$* . The symmetry group of a regular complex polytope is a Shephard group, and the Milnor fiber complex of the Shephard group is constructed in [8] as a geometric realization of the simplicial complex  $\Delta(\mathcal{P})$  whose  $k$ -simplices are the flags  $\mathcal{F} = (F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_k)$  of faces  $F_i \in \mathcal{P}$ ; see [8, Thm. 5.1]. Index the generators of the Shephard group starting with 0 instead of 1, so that  $R = \{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}\}$ . Then a flag  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponds in the Milnor fiber complex to a coset of  $\langle R \setminus \{r_{\dim F}\} \rangle$ , whose type is  $\{r_{\dim F} : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ; see [5].

Coxeter considered the *section of  $\mathcal{P}$  by a reflecting hyperplane  $H$* . This is the set

$$\{F \in \mathcal{P} : F \subset F' \subset H \text{ for some } F' \in \mathcal{P} \text{ such that } \dim F' = n - 2\}. \quad (17)$$

He observed the following [2, pp. 123, 132]: if  $G = G_{25}$ , then each section of  $\mathcal{P}$  by a reflecting hyperplane is a regular complex polytope for  $G(3, 1, 2)$ ; if  $G = G_{26}$ , then each section of  $\mathcal{P}$  by a reflecting hyperplane is a regular complex polytope for either  $G(3, 1, 2)$  or  $G(6, 1, 2)$ ; and if  $G = G_{32}$ , then each section of  $\mathcal{P}$  by a reflecting hyperplane is a regular complex polytope for  $G_{26}$ . These observations translate into the following statements about  $\Sigma = (\Delta(G, R)^r)_{\mathcal{F}}$  for  $\mathcal{F} = \{R \setminus \{r_{n-1}\}\}$  and  $r \in G$  a reflection.

- If  $G = G_{25}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(3, 1, 2)$ .
- If  $G = G_{26}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the Milnor fiber complex of  $G(3, 1, 2)$  or  $G(6, 1, 2)$ .
- If  $G = G_{32}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to the Milnor fiber complex of  $G_{26}$ .

Hence if  $G$  is  $G_{25}$ ,  $G_{26}$ , or  $G_{32}$ , then all walls of  $\Delta(G, R)$  are Milnor walls.  $\square$



$G$	Symbol/diagram	Basic degrees
$Z_m$	$m$	$m$
$I_2(2m)$	$2[2m]2$	$2, 2m$
$I_2(2m - 1)$	$2[2m - 1]2$	$2, 2m - 1$
$G_4$	$3[3]3$	$4, 6$
$G_5$	$3[4]3$	$6, 12$
$G_6$	$3[6]2$	$4, 12$
$G_8$	$4[3]4$	$8, 12$
$G_9$	$4[6]2$	$8, 24$
$G_{10}$	$4[4]3$	$12, 24$
$G_{14}$	$3[8]2$	$6, 24$
$G_{16}$	$5[3]5$	$20, 30$
$G_{17}$	$5[6]2$	$20, 60$
$G_{18}$	$5[4]3$	$30, 60$
$G_{20}$	$3[5]3$	$12, 30$
$G_{21}$	$3[10]2$	$12, 60$
$G_{23}$ ( $H_3$ )	$2[3]2[5]2$	$2, 6, 10$
$G_{25}$	$3[3]3[3]3$	$6, 9, 12$
$G_{26}$	$3[3]3[4]2$	$6, 12, 18$
$G_{28}$ ( $F_4$ )	$2[3]2[4]2[3]2$	$2, 6, 8, 12$
$G_{30}$ ( $H_4$ )	$2[3]2[3]2[5]2$	$2, 12, 20, 30$
$G_{32}$	$3[3]3[3]3[3]3$	$12, 18, 24, 30$
$G_{35}$ ( $E_6$ )		$2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$
$G_{36}$ ( $E_7$ )		$2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$
$G_{37}$ ( $E_8$ )		$2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$
$A_n$	$2[3]2 \dots 2[3]2[3]2$	$2, 3, \dots, n + 1$
$D_{n+1}$		$2, 4, \dots, 2n, n + 1$
$G(m, 1, n)$	$2[3]2 \dots 2[3]2[4]m$	$m, 2m, \dots, nm$

TABLE 1. ( $m \geq 2$ ) The finite irreducible Coxeter and Shephard groups, their diagrams, and their basic degrees.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Abramenko, Walls in Coxeter complexes. *Geom. Dedicata* **49** (1994) 71–84.
- [2] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular complex polytopes*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [3] R. Kane, *Reflection groups and invariant theory*. CMS Books in Mathematics, 2001.
- [4] D. W. Koster, Ph.D. Thesis. University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1975.
- [5] A. R. Miller, Reflection arrangements and ribbon representations. *European J. Combin.* **39** (2014) 24–56.

- [6] A. R. Miller, Foulkes characters for complex reflection groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143** (2015) 3281–3293.
- [7] A. R. Miller, Some characters that depend only on length. *Math. Res. Lett.* **24** (2017) 879–891.
- [8] P. Orlik, Milnor fiber complexes for Shephard groups. *Adv. Math.* **83** (1990) 135–154.
- [9] P. Orlik, V. Reiner, and A. V. Shepler, The sign representation for Shephard groups. *Math. Ann.* **322** (2002) 477–492.
- [10] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, Complexes for reflection groups. In: *Algebraic geometry*, pp. 193–207, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 862, 1981.
- [11] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, Arrangements defined by unitary reflection groups. *Math. Ann.* **261** (1982) 339–357.
- [12] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, Coxeter arrangements. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **40** (1983) 269–291.
- [13] P. Orlik and H. Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 300, 1992.
- [14] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups. *Canadian J. Math.* **6** (1954) 274–304.
- [15] J. Tits, Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 386, 1974.

Alexander R. Miller  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Wien  
A-1090 Wien  
Austria  
alexander.r.miller@univie.ac.at

